Penn

University of Pennsylvania - Instructor and Course Evaluation Report

ECON001218, INTRO ECON MICRO, Fall, 2015 LUDUVICE, ANDRE VICTOR D														
Terr	n	Fall, 2015 (2015C)	Enrollment	23	School		ARTS & SCIENCES							
Activity Type		REC	Eligible	23	Divisio	Division								
Cro	ss Listed Sections	-	Responses	22	Depar	Department		ECONOMICS						
			Response Rate	96%	Subje	ECONOMICS								
				Average Ratings			This Instructor Only Worst RatingBest Rating					Responses		
	Question and Sca	le	Instructor	Section	Course	-	0	1	2	3	4			
1	Overall quality of a Scale: 0 to 4: Poor,	t he TA. <i>Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent</i>	3.27	3.27	2.74	-	0% 0	0% 0	9% 2	55% 12	36% 8	22		
2	The TA communic Scale: 0 to 4: Stron Agree, Strongly age	gly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagr	3.38 ee,	3.38	2.91	-	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	63% 10	38% 6	16		
3	The TA effectively stimulated my interest. Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree		3.31 ee,	3.31	2.64	-	0% 0	0% 0	13% 2	44% 7	44% 7	16		
4		priately accessible outside of class time. gly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagr ee	3.31 ee,	3.31	3.14	-	0% 0	0% 0	13% 2	44% 7	44% 7	16		
5	-	to learn in this course. gly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagr ee	3.40 ee,	3.40	3.09	-	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	60% 9	40% 6	15		
6	understanding of	ction was well integrated with and enhanced the lecture material. gly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagr ree	-	3.44	3.05	-	0% 0	0% 0	0% 0	56% 9	44% 7	16		

LUDUVICE, ANDRE VICTOR D

Comment Suggestion

Andre was an effective TA who really helped me learn how to do econ problems.

Andre Victor was a great TA, and definitely helped me understand both material covered in lecture, and new material. He also helped foster my interest in economics, a course not in my major, and was a part of why I am choosing to take further economics courses next semester.

Andre was an amazing TA!

Andre was very effective. Whenever we were confused on a subject during recitation, he would follow up with emails after class. Awesome job!

Andre Victor was especially great in office hours. He is a great teacher, definitely shines one-on-one.

I found this course effective. By being introduced to topics in lecture, and further investigating them through recitation and independent readings of the text, I developed a basic understanding of micro-economics.

Penn

University of Pennsylvania · Instructor and Course Evaluation Report

ECO)1225 , INTE	RO ECON MICRO, Fall, 2015							LUD	UVICE	, ANDR	E VICTOR D		
Term		Fall, 2015 (2015C)	Enrollment	25	Schoo	School Division		ARTS & SCIENCES						
Activity Type		REC	Eligible	25	Divisio			-						
Cros	s Listed Sections	-	Responses	23	Depar	Department		ECONOMICS						
			Response Rate	92%	92% Subject			ECONOMICS						
			Average Ratings					Responses						
	Question and Sca	le	Instructor	Section	Course	-	0	1	2	3	4			
	Overall quality of Scale: 0 to 4: Poor,	the TA. Fair, Good, Very good, Excellent	3.23	3.23	2.74	-	0% 0	0% 0	23% 5	32% 7	45% 10	22		
	The TA communicated effectively. Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree		3.33 ee,	3.33	2.91	-	0% 0	0% 0	11% 2	44% 8	44% 8	18		
	The TA effectively Scale: 0 to 4: Stron Agree, Strongly ag	3.22 ee,	3.22	2.64	-	0% 0	0% 0	17% 3	44% 8	39% 7	18			
		priately accessible outside of class time. gly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagr ree	3.33 ee,	3.33	3.14	-	0% 0	0% 0	6% 1	56% 10	39% 7	18		
		to learn in this course. Igly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree ree	3.50 ee,	3.50	3.09	-	0% 0	0% 0	6% 1	39% 7	56% 10	18		
	This recitation section was well integrated with and enhanced my understanding of the lecture material. Scale: 0 to 4: Strongly disagree, Disagree, Neither agree nor disagree, Agree, Strongly agree		-	3.41	3.05	-	0% 0	0% 0	6% 1	47% 8	47% 8	17		

ECON001225, INTRO ECON MICRO, Fall, 2015

Comment Suggestion

I thought that the recitation section was very helpful in explaining how to use the concepts we learned in class to solve problem sets. It was an great complement to the lecture and Andre was an excellent TA.

Great TA. He was very friendly and demonstrated great passion for the course material.

There was definitely a jump between lecture problems and recitation problems, but the recitation questions were particularly helpful come test time. Andre was very nice and effectively communicated concepts, but sometimes got carried away with more mathematical explanations that everyone clearly got lost in. Otherwise, he was accessible and helpful in and out of recitation and was a wonderful TA.

Andre's receptions were more valuable than the professor's lectures to me. He was a fantastic TA that made that last Friday class as interesting as possible.

It was interesting.

Good TA.

In my opinion, I thought Andre was the best TA out of all the econ TA's. Because of scheduling issues, I've been to other TA's recitations before, and I definitely felt that Andre did the best in his explanations and knew the right ways to phrase the concepts so I could understand.

In the beginning of the semester, I had surgery and was not able to catch up on any work fast enough, but he was very lenient and understanding of the struggle. He was able to help me catch up in my work and improve throughout the course. He also communicated with me effectively, responded to emails very quickly, and is just an excellent TA overall.

Overall, this course was very challenging. The material was not taught well and I wish that there were more homework problems that were like the problems tested on the exam. The lectures were also very confusing.

Good course