

Discussion of:  
Microsimulation Approaches to Studying Shocks and Social  
Protection in Selected Developing Economies

by Kwabena Adu-Ababio (Uni Helsinki & UNU-WIDER)

André Victor Doherty Luduvicé

Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland

IIPF 2022

*The views and findings expressed here are those of the author's and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland or the Federal Reserve System.*

# Basic Idea and Takeaway Lessons

- ▶ The paper studies automatic stabilization in developing economies:
  - ▶ Countries: Ghana, South Africa, and Ecuador
  - ▶ Uses microsimulation models from UNU-WIDER: GHAMOD, SAMOD, and ECUAMOD
  - ▶ Stabilization of income, demand, and poverty head count (welfare)
  - ▶ Added role of informality shocks
- ▶ Takeaway Lessons:
  - ▶ Order of stabilization capacity: South Africa  $\succ$  Ecuador  $\succ$  Ghana
  - ▶ Ghana has an overall more fragile social insurance net
  - ▶ Counterfactual: room for improvement in exposure to shocks via expansion/creation of transfer policies
- ▶ Overall:
  - ▶ The contribution within the literature is precise
  - ▶ The results are consistent and show clear patterns
  - ▶ Paper is very organized with summaries and robustness checks
  - ▶ Suggestion: more in-depth discussions (tackled below)

# Discussion of the Paper: Three Main Points

- ▶ Three main discussion points:
  - ▶ Methodological and general: microsimulation approach
  - ▶ My expertise: macroeconomics with heterogeneity, lessons from previous literature
  - ▶ Model details and selected results
- ▶ First: microsimulation approach
  - ▶ Reference to models but would welcome more details
  - ▶ Extent to which they survive Lucas' Critique
  - ▶ Takes into account labor supply responses?
  - ▶ If yes, based on regressions or structural equations?

# Discussion of the Paper: Continuation

- ▶ Macroeconomics with heterogeneity:
  - ▶ Suggestion of key papers: McKay and Reis (ECTA, 2016), McKay and Reis (ReStud, 2021)
  - ▶ Key ingredients: essentially one of the first “HANK”, heterogeneous agents new keynesian model
  - ▶ Combines household heterogeneity a la Aiyagari-Huggett-Imrohoroglu and nominal rigidities at the macro level
  - ▶ 2016: stabilizers for the US play a larger role when they mitigate inequality combined with a zero lower bound interest rate
  - ▶ 2021: Optimal stabilizers more important in recessions, UI replacement rate more important than progressivity
  - ▶ Lesson from US to developing economies: critical role of UI

# Discussion of the Paper: Continuation

## ▶ Model details and selected results

- ▶ Are the income shocks indeed idiosyncratic? Proportional shock to income might be systematic?
- ▶ Interesting aspect: role of informality as a cushion to unemployment
- ▶ For Ghana and Ecuador: there is some stabilization from income but virtually zero from demand/credit - potential reform that is less fiscally costly
- ▶ Remarkable effect of low poverty stabilization in Ghana. How the current programs are failing?
- ▶ The counterfactuals for Ghana look fiscally sustainable, what's missing for expenditures to take place?